

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,885	10/19/2004	Martin Purpura	5942-83616	4212	
22242 FITCH EVEN	7590 09/28/200° TABIN AND FLANNE		EXAMINER		
120 SOUTH L	A SALLE STREET	SK I	MAEWALL, SNIGDHA		
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL	. 60603-3406		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/511,885	PURPURA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Snigdha Maewall	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some year of the provision of the p	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION OF THIS COMMUNICATION	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matt	• •	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-11,13-21 and 23-29 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11,13-21 and 23-29 is/are reject 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction of the specific sheet of the speci	ndrawn from consideration. Sted. Ind/or election requirement. Indicate the drawing(s) be held in abeyar prrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTC	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the priority document of the priority	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Summary

1. Receipt of Preliminary amendment and IDS filed on 06/27/2007, 07/10/2007 and 08/24/2007 is made of record.

Claims 12 and 22 are cancelled. Claims included in this application are 1-11, 13-21 and 23-29 and will be prosecuted on the merits.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the Search Report is not considered to be an information disclosure statement (IDS) complying with 37 CFR 1.98. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) requires a legible copy of: (1) each foreign patent; (2) each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; (3) for each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including claims, and any drawing of the application, or that portion of the application which caused it to be listed including any claims directed to that portion, unless the cited pending U.S. application is stored in the Image File Wrapper (IFW) system; and (4) all other information, or that portion which caused it to be listed. In addition, each IDS must include a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office (see 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and (b)), and MPEP § 609.04(a), subsection I. states, "the list ... must be submitted on a separate paper." Therefore, the references cited in the Search Report have not been

considered. Applicant is advised that the date of submission of any item of information or any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the IDS, including all "statement" requirements of 37CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for reducing fat, does not reasonably provide enablement for preventing fat absorption. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. To "prevent" is to keep from happening.

For rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, the following factors are considered (In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (CAFC, 1988):

- I) Nature of the invention.
- II) State of prior art.
- III) Level of ordinary skill in the art.

- IV) Level of predictability in the art.
- V) Amount of direction and guidance provided by the inventor.
- VI) Quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

I. Nature of the invention:

The claims are drawn to a method for preventing elevated serum cholesterol levels and diabetes symptoms, for strengthening mental fitness and/or for exercising tolerance and fitness in a subject comprising the claimed functional food and a pharmaceutical preparation for preventing elevated serum cholesterol levels and diabetes symptoms, strengthening mental fitness, exercising tolerance and fitness, comprising the claimed functional food.

Applicants' specification does not discuss or show with data how the recurrence of elevated serum cholesterol levels and diabetes symptoms associated with the claimed functional food is avoided.

II. State of the prior art:

The prior art does not disclose case supported by data showing a method for preventing elevated serum cholesterol levels and diabetes symptoms, for strengthening mental fitness and/or for exercising tolerance and fitness in a subject comprising the claimed functional food and a pharmaceutical preparation for preventing elevated serum

cholesterol levels and diabetes symptoms, strengthening mental fitness, exercising tolerance and fitness, comprising the claimed functional food.

III. Level of Ordinary Skill in the art:

The level of ordinary skill in the art is high. Applicants' specification does not enable the public to practice the art of keeping elevated serum cholesterol levels or diabetes symptom from happening.

IV. Level of predictability:

Since applicants' specification does not show the stoppage or exclusion or keeping a method for preventing elevated serum cholesterol levels and diabetes symptoms, for strengthening mental fitness and/or for exercising tolerance and fitness in a subject comprising the claimed functional food and a pharmaceutical preparation for preventing elevated serum cholesterol levels and diabetes symptoms, strengthening mental fitness, exercising tolerance and fitness, comprising the claimed functional food from happening, the ability of the person of skill in the art is challenged to extrapolate the disclosed or known results to the claimed invention with little or no predictability. The lower the predictability, the higher the direction and guidance that must be provided by the applicants. In the instant invention the predictability is very low and consequently, the need for the higher levels of direction and guidance by the applicants.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,885

Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

V. Amount of direction and guidance provided by the inventors:

The amount of direction and guidance provided by the applicants is limited to treating elevated serum cholesterol levels or diabetes symptoms but not preventing them for ever.

VI. Quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure:

The quantity of experimentation required to use the invention as claimed, based on applicants' disclosure would be undue burden because, one of ordinary skill in the art would have to perform significant amount of experimentation with a large number of subjects and for reliable duration of time during which elevation of serum cholesterol levels or diabetes symptom or the fitness strengthening as claimed is kept from happening.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-11, 13-21 and 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1,4,5, 6 13 and 17 the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation polymers and minerals and the claim also recites silicates and mixtures thereof, which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claims 11 and 13, 17 recite the limitation "derivatives thereof". The metes and bounds of the claim are not defined. Claim 1 recites the limitation "starting material of acetone insoluble phospholipids components". It is not clear which compounds are included and which are not. Claim s 13 and 17 recite the limitations "mono and disaccharides and their sugar alcohols", "trace elements", "mineral substances and

suitable derivatives thereof". The claims are rendered indefinite because the metes and bounds of the claims are not defined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-11, 13-21 and 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiliaan et al. (WO 01/84961 A2) in view of Geiss (US PG pub. 2004/0120985 A1) and further in view of Hochschild (US Patent No. 4,374,082).

Kiliaan et al. discloses a preparation suitable for the prevention and/or treatment of vascular disorders, comprising the following fractions: fraction a) long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids; fraction b) phospholipids, the fraction contains at least two different phospholipids selected from the group consisting of phosphatidylserine, phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylcholine and phosphatidylethanolamine, fraction c) compounds which are a factor in methionine metabolism, which fraction contains at least one member selected from the group consisting of folic acid, vitamin B 12, vitamin B6, magnesium and zinc (abstract). The preparation of the invention can be a pharmaceutical, dietetic as well as a nutritional

Application/Control Number: 10/511,885

Art Unit: 1615

preparation. The products can have the form of a liquid, powder, bar, cookie, sweetie, concentrate, paste, sauce, gel, emulsion, tablet, capsule, etc. to provide the daily dose of the bioactive components either as a single or in multiple doses (page 6, lines 1-5). Triglyceride is listed on page 6, line 14. The composition contains zinc and copper (see page 9, lines 1-5). Kiliaan et al. discloses on page 12, various diseases and symptoms that can be treated are cognitive degeneration and improper functioning associated with kidneys, liver, stomach etc. another advantage of the composition disclosed is in normalizing plasma cholesterol levels (see page 6, lines 17-18).

Kiliaana et al. do not teach unmodified carbohydrate and protein.

However, Geiss et al. discloses functional food for the cognitive functional capacity comprising carbohydrate, proteins, phosphatidylserine, vitamins and fat. The functional food products disclosed are in the form of milk, diet foods, dairy products etc. (see claims).

Geiss does not teach granular preparation of the formulation. However, Hochschild teaches a method of making a solid pharmaceutical or nutritional dosage form that is suitable for oral administration and is in granular form (see abstract and column 1, lines 30-31, lines 54-57, column 2, lines 58-59 and example on column 4).

It would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate carbohydrate and protein (unmodified) in the composition forwarded by Kiliaana et al. because unmodified carbohydrate and protein in the form of functional food help in enhancing cognitive functions. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to formulate a functional food comprising unmodified

carbohydrate and protein along with vitamins, minerals and phospholipids for treating cognitive functions and other medical conditions as discussed above with a reasonable expectation of success. It would have been further obvious to the one of ordinary skilled in the art to formulate matrix comprising the claimed components motivated by the guidance provided by Hochschild to prepare a phospholipids containing stable matrix with a reasonable expectation of success.

With respect to various amounts claimed in the instant application, it is the position of the examiner that optimization of such parameters would have been within the purview of a skilled artisan by doing experimental manipulations. Applicant is reminded that where the general conditions of the claims are met, burden is shitted to applicant to provide a patentable distinction. Where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. See In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454 105 USPQ 233,235 (CCPA 1955).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Snigdha Maewall whose telephone number is (571)-272-6197. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday; 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Snigdha Maewall

Art Unit

1615

Góllamudi S. Kishore, PhD

Primary Examiner

Group 1**6**00